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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1 INTRODUCTION

The National Water Commission of Jamaica (NWC) and Vinci Construction Grands Projets (VCGP), a French Contractor, are desirous to implement the Jamaica Water Supply Improvement Project – Category A Works (JWSIP-A), an integrated water supply and service improvement project that will benefit major sections of Kingston, Saint Andrew and Saint Catherine. The main component of JWSIP-A is:

- Rio Cobre Pipeline Replacement (section through gorges to Flat Bridge).
- Refurbishment of Constant Spring WTP
- Refurbishment of Seaview WTP
- Construction of Hall Green Wells

NWC has prepared an interim Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for the construction of the wells and their associated facilities, providing an evaluation of the sites for the proposed works, a description of the main elements of these works, predicted environmental impacts and proposed mitigation measures.

2 THE STUDY AREA

The study area for the EIA covered the works included in the Category A Works of the JWSIP, being:

- Two new wells able to produce additional and sustainable 1 MGD of clear water which only requires chlorination.
- A 1,150 m³ reservoir at high elevation in the area of Golden Spring.
- A DN300 & a DN200 DI pipeline under the road, (1.70 km of DN300, 4.70 km of DN200) from the two wells to the existing Stony Hill distribution network.

The studied areas are the ones directly impacted by the implementation of the project construction and wider environment considerations are given where appropriate.
3 POLICY, LEGAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE FRAMEWORK

The principal body of legislation relating to environmental issues and proposed development projects in Jamaica is the Natural Resources Conservation Authority Act which was introduced in 1991. The Natural Resources Conservation Authority (NRCA) was established under this Act and given the responsibility for the protection and management of the country’s natural resources and for the control of pollution. The NRCA was subsequently merged with other agencies in 2001 to form the National Environment and Planning Agency (NEPA). The other agencies in this merger were the Town Planning Department (TPD) and the Land Development and Utilization Commission (LDUC).

NEPA has maintained the responsibility of NRCA and seeks to promote sustainable development in Jamaica by ensuring the protection of the environment and ensuring orderly development. Other legislations that are directly relevant to the project includes the Wildlife Protection Act 1981, the Watershed Protection Act 1963, the Town & Country Planning Act, the Water Resources Act 1995, the Public Health Act 1974, and the National Heritage Trust Act. The area impacted by the construction of the pipelines for this project, the subject of this EIA, is administered by the Parish Councils of St Catherine and the Kingston and St. Andrew Corporation (KSAC).

In addition other public agencies with significant environmental protection roles include the Water Resources Authority, the Environmental Control Division of the Ministry of Health and the National Heritage Trust.

4 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

4.1 GENERAL

The Government of Jamaica (GOJ) and the National Water Commission have taken steps to improve the level of water service provided by the NWC to its existing customers, extend piped water supply to additional households and provide water supply infrastructure to support economic development in key areas of the country. GOJ and NWC have invested heavily in the water supply infrastructure in the north-western section of the country in recent years. Over the last ten years, NWC has expanded the Great River Water Treatment Plant from 10 mgd to 15 mgd and constructed a trunk main between Great River and Lucea in Hanover along with water storage tanks; rehabilitated the Martha Brae Water Treatment Plant in Trelawny, constructed a trunk main from the plant to Run-a-way Bay in St. Ann and constructed water storage tanks.

NWC intends to more intensively focus on improving the water supply to the Kingston Metropolitan Area (KMA) (which includes the Kingston and St. Andrew Area (KSA), Greater Spanish Town and Portmore) on the south side of the country, where some 40% of Jamaica’s population reside. The most critical aspect of the Project is that it will address the perennial water supply problems which for decades have been plaguing the KMA. It will complement the KMA Water Supply and Rehabilitation Project that is now being implemented by NWC with financing provided by the Japan Bank of International Cooperation (JBIC) and scheduled for completion by December 2010 and the Kingston Water and Sanitation Project by the Inter-American Development Bank.

The critical pipeline works to be undertaken under the JWSIP-A are:
- The new section from the wells to Stony Hill network,
- The connection from the main road to the reservoir.

It is proposed to largely construct the new pipelines below ground surface and within road reserves or in verges. All soils within the reserve are either already disturbed, natural soils or imported material for formation of embankments.

As may be demonstrated by the content of this EIA, the only lasting negative environmental impact is associated with the visual impact of sections of the pipeline exposed at the toe of the embankments on pillars, crossing culverts and bridges attached to existing headwalls.

4.2. **HALLS GREEN PROJECT**

Hall Greens, located at the north of Stony Hill on the Wag River currently catches water from the same river via a small dam and a pumping station.

The water is caught by the dam and pumped into the Boar River pipeline which supplies raw water to Constant Spring WTP.

Valley Alluvium in the Wagwater River at Halls Green offers the potential to use wells to effect sub-surface diversion of the surface flows, utilising the natural capacity of the alluvium as a treatment plant to remove turbidity and bacteria, thus providing well discharge that only requires chlorination to achieve potability. In this event, the necessity to pump to the Constant Spring Treatment Plant would be eliminated and treated water could be pumped directly into the water distribution system at Halls Green to supply the Golden Spring / Lawrence Tavern, if not an expanded supply area. This has implications for considerable savings in pumping and water treatment costs. Also, it would reduce the area supplied from the Seaview and Constant Spring treatment plants necessitating a review of plans to increase treatment capacity.

The river diversion well option has the potential to be a more efficient and reliable method than the existing surface diversion facility. The river-bed diversion structures of the surface water diversion facility have been washed out (and/or significantly damaged) by flood flows in 1979 and 1988, resulting in the suspension of operations for years at a time. Significant underflow has been observed at the diversion structure during period of low flow, when there was insufficient inflow via the diversion to sustain full time production. There is also high turbidity associated with surface diversion during the rainy season which creates problems for water treatment. On the other hand, river diversion wells would be sited on the alluvium plain, away from the river channel and above all but the most extreme flood levels. They would continue to produce low turbidity water during periods of highly turbid surface flows and have the potential to divert all of the low flow in the river.

The Halls Green project includes the construction of a reservoir. During period of low demand (nights for instance), it is preferable to pump up water up to a reservoir and, when peak consumption period comes, the same water is redistributed to the consumers.

Therefore, a 1,150 m³ reservoir is going to be built at high elevation in the area of Golden Spring to feed back when necessary into the Halls Green distribution system. The pipeline will be extended from the main road to the reservoir.

Also, with the new reservoir, a future expansion of the project could be the construction of a booster station in order to pump the water into the Stony Hill distribution network (currently served by Seaview WTP).
5 BASELINE DATA

The baseline data recorded for this EIA are associated primarily with:

   i)  The construction of the two new wells able to produce additional and sustainable 1 MGD of clear water.

   ii) The construction of a 1,150 m³ reservoir at high elevation in the area of Golden Spring.

   iii) The new pipeline under the road, (1.70 km of DN300, 3.70 km of DN200) from the two wells to the Spring Hill network.

Each of the above is further discussed in Section 6 of this Executive Summary (Section 7 of the Report) in relation to the proposed construction activities and in relation to the potential environmental impact including mitigation of these activities on the baseline situation.
6 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT AND MITIGATION

6.1 WELLS AND RESERVOIR CONSTRUCTION

The proposed works include the construction of two new wells at Halls Green. Earthwork will be undertaken and could have a minor impact on the soil resources. However, all waste coming from earthworks could be reused as landfill material if the load-bearing capacity of this soil is sufficient. If not, disposal of any waste material will be moved to the Riverton landfill.

Even if the construction will not be high, the visual impact of the two wells, the reservoir and the controls building (including the construction of one chlorine tank) cannot be avoided. But, constructions will only impact on the visual environment where it is not buried by the vegetation and the reservoir could be semi-buried in order to minimize the visual impact on the environment.

Concerning the drainage mitigations, surface water run-off from the construction sites during all stages of the works, but particularly during the construction phase could cause potential impacts upon the local terrestrial and marine environment. Drainage channels and gully (permanent and temporary) will be foreseen to minimize the run-off water impact.

Finally, the activity of the wells (2 MGD) has a direct impact on the groundwater resources. The depth of the wells is about 13.5 meters deep and the level of the groundwater is about 12 meters.

During the brief testing phase of the wells, the volume of discharged water in the river is considered as minimal. In operational phase, there is a potential link between the resources of the river and the resources of the groundwater associated, but for the moment, nothing can prove that the level of the river will be affected by the wells activity.

6.2 PIPELINE CONSTRUCTION

The New line is located under the road from the wells to the Stony Hill network. Where short term interruptions are expected as a result of specific types of works, appropriate traffic management will be undertaken, in keeping with an overall traffic management plan that will be prepared in order to minimize disruption for road users.

Lasting visual impacts, lasting impact on background, noise, dust/emissions or indeed impacts on the natural hydrology geology or ecology are not anticipated.

One lasting negative environmental visual impact is likely to be from exposed sections of pipelines where the pipeline crosses bridges and culverts. This is however considered to be a minimal impact in the context of accepted practice on the island.

Short term nuisance includes inconvenience to the public in the remote areas from construction traffic, road width restriction, dust emission and noise. This can be mitigated by restricting the working hours, employment of signage and flagmen and water sprays.

In cases where it is identified that during construction there is a danger of increased run-off or erosion of trenches, temporary bunds and/or drainage channels or holding ponds will be employed.

All vehicles and equipment will be regularly serviced to ensure that no fuel or oil leakage occurs. Refuelling points and fuel storage areas will be bunded and/or impervious hard standing provided.
7 WIDER ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIO ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS

This interim EIA discusses in detail (in Sections 5 and 6 of the Report) the baseline situation in respect of climate, topography, air quality, soils and geology, hydrology, terrestrial ecology, cultural heritage sites and socio-economic structure as well as existing infrastructure and utilities such as water, sewerage, transportation network, electricity, air transport and telephone/telecommunications and solid waste management.

Climate, air quality and physical features are not predicted to be impacted upon in a major way; there will be short term impacts due to excavations, dust and noise. However, mitigation measures will be taken to ameliorate the adverse impacts.

There are no cultural heritage sites in the area, and there is no long term environmental effect.

Indeed the long term effect on water supply is a positive one in that the project benefits the population in major sections of the KMA, reduces levels of leakage, improves efficiency of supply, increases coverage, provides the opportunity for economic development and thus improves the economy to the benefit of the people of Jamaica.

8 ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES

In the case of the Jamaica Water Supply Improvement Project (Category A Works), the potential environmental impacts are minimal and the options for alternatives are few. In fact, the Project components will support and sustain further development in the areas noted; the project in itself is ultimately beneficial to the people of Jamaica.

9 ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

The Environmental Management Plan centres primarily around health and safety during construction. Steps will be taken to ensure that construction materials, in particular sand, gravel and marl are obtained from licensed operators. These items will be procured from licensed operators. Measures to ensure that waste materials from the Project are disposed at suitable licensed landfill sites and that short term nuisances such as noise, dust and traffic problems are kept to a minimum, will be taken. These will include engaging only reputable operators and conducting appropriate spot checks to verify that disposal are done in accordance with the requirements of NEPA and other agencies

Measures for the longer terms where deemed appropriate will be taken, and these may include the repositioning of trees or new planting at affected sites.

The Contractor will be required to organise an environmental awareness and health and safety training session for all his staff at the beginning of the project and maintain strict standards throughout his work. Steps must be taken to ensure that this training is re-enforced at various stages during project execution.
10 CLASSIFICATION

It is recommended by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development that members should classify projects in accordance with the potential environmental impact and the extent of the environmental review required.

As may be demonstrated by this Report, it is recommended that Jamaica Water Supply Service Improvement Project be classified as a Category C project as having ‘a minimal or no adverse environmental impact’.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

The National Water Commission of Jamaica (NWC) and Vinci Construction Grands Projets (VCGP), a French Contractor are desirous to implement the Jamaica Water Supply Improvement Project – Category A Works (JWSIP-A), an integrated water supply and service improvement project that will benefit major sections of Kingston, Saint Andrew and Saint Catherine. The main components of JWSIP-A are:

- Rio Cobre Pipeline Replacement (section through gorges to Flat Bridge).
- Refurbishment of Constant Spring WTP
- Refurbishment of Seaview WTP
- Construction of Hall Green Wells

NWC has prepared an interim Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for the pipeline works, providing an evaluation of the sites for the proposed works, a description of the main elements of these works, predicted environmental impacts and proposed mitigation measures that will be included in project planning and execution. It has been prepared utilising information obtained from the Contractor and NWC regarding the preliminary design and layout of the construction project and through desk study reviews of information relating to the general study area. This report has been prepared in accordance with the laws of Jamaica and largely based on the guidelines set out in the document produced by the former National Resources Conservation Authority (NRCA) (‘now the National Environmental Protection Agency - NEPA) “Guidelines for Conducting Environmental Impact Assessment” that was published in July 1997 (See Appendix 1).

1.2 REPORT STRUCTURE

In accordance with the guidelines and Terms of Reference, this interim EIA report has been structured as follows:

1. Introduction - detailing the background, report structure, study team and objectives;
2. Study Area - details of the site of the proposed construction project as well as the wider environment and indirect study areas;
3. Policy, Legal and Administrative Framework - details of the framework within which the EIA study has been conducted;
4. Proposed Development - details of the background, nature, layout and characteristics of the proposed construction project;
5. Description of Existing Environment - details the baseline and site-specific data relating to the physical and biological environments, including:
   - climate
   - geomorphology
- air quality
- soils and geology
- hydrology
- flora
- fauna
- cultural heritage;

6. *Socio-Economic and Demographic Structure* - details current trends and community structure, including:
   - socio-economics and demography characteristics
   - tourism
   - existing infrastructure and utilities
   - solid waste management
   - social facilities;

7. *Evaluation of Environmental Impacts* - details identified impacts, both positive or negative, their anticipated severity and significance;

8. *Evaluation of Predicted Socio-Economical Impacts* - details identified impacts, both positive and negative and their anticipated significance;

9. *Alternatives* - details a brief discussion of alternative approaches to development of the site in view of the identified impacts;

10. *Mitigation Plan/Mitigation Management Plan* - details of proposals to eliminate or reduce any identified adverse environmental effects;

11. *Environmental Management and Training* - details of how the environment will be managed during the implementation and operation of the project; and

12. *Monitoring Programme* - details of the necessary environmental monitoring activities to be implemented.

### 1.3 THE STUDY AREA

The study area for the EIA covered the works included in the Category A Works of the JWSIP, being:

- Two new wells able to produce additional and sustainable 1 MGD of clear water which only requires chlorination.
- A 1,150 m3 reservoir at high elevation in the area of Golden Spring.
- A DN300 & a DN200 DI pipeline under the road, (1.70 km of DN300, 3.70 km of DN200) from the two wells to the Spring Hill network.

The studied areas are the ones directly impacted by the implementation of the project construction and wider environment considerations are given where appropriate.
2.0 POLICY, LEGAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE FRAMEWORK

2.1 LEGISLATION

The principal body of legislation relating to environmental issues and proposed development projects in Jamaica is the Natural Resources Conservation Authority Act which was introduced in 1991. The Natural Resources Conservation Authority (NRCA) was established under this Act and given the responsibility for the protection and management of the country’s natural resources and for the control of pollution. The NRCA was subsequently merged with other agencies in 2001 to form the National Environment and Planning Agency (NEPA). The other agencies in this merger were the Town Planning Department (TPD) and the Land Development and Utilization Commission (LDUC).

NEPA has maintained the responsibility of NRCA and seeks to promote sustainable development in Jamaica by ensuring the protection of the environment and ensuring orderly development.

Under the NRCA Act, Clause 10-1a an environmental impact assessment is required for a range of development types, “where (NEPA) is of the opinion that the activities of an enterprise, construction or development are having or are likely to have an adverse effect on the environment and “containing such information as may be prescribed”. The main statutory provisions enforced by the NEPA under the NRCA Act are:

- **Wildlife Protection Act 1981** - designates species for protection and prohibits removal, sale or possession of protected animals, including the regulation of hunting and fishing; and

- **Watersheds Protection Act 1963** - provides for the designation of watersheds for conservation purposes, to reduce soil erosion, ensure regulated flow in rivers and streams, maintain optimum groundwater levels and encourage proper land use to protect watershed recharge.

Other important environmental and planning legislations that affect the Jamaica Water Supply Improvement Project (Category A Works), include:

- **Town and Country Planning Act** - which determines guidelines for land use including size, type and location of developments;

- **Water Resources Act 1995** – that established the Water Resources Authority, which is responsible for regulation, allocation, conservation and management of water resources of the island. In particular, it allows for the protection of the quality of water resources by declaring Water Quality Control Areas;

- **Public Health Act 1974** - provides standards for enforcement for domestic water consumption and waste disposal, and for monitoring the microbiological quality of potable and domestic water supplies;

- **National Heritage Trust Act** - provides for the designation, preservation and management of historical and archaeological sites, buildings and artefacts;

- The **Environmental Control Division of the Ministry of Health** which enforces environmental health regulations;
2.2 ADMINISTRATIVE FRAMEWORK

Administration of environmental and planning matters in the Jamaica is quite complex. There are a number of institutions which are concerned with these matters. In addition to NEPA, there are the Environmental Control Division of the Ministry of Health which enforces environmental health regulations; National Heritage Trust which protects historical and archaeological sites; Forestry & Soil Conservation Department of the Ministry of Agriculture, with responsibility as implied in its title; the Water Resources Authority, which manages Jamaica water resources, including water resource allocation; the St. Catherine Parish Council who is responsible for local administration for specific areas that will be impacted upon by the construction of the pipelines for this project.

In administering their respective environmental protection roles, these agencies seek to:

- Establish and enforce pollution control and waste management standards and regulations;
- Guide environmentally appropriate development through tools such as prescribing areas, requiring environmental impact assessments and granting permits and licenses;
- Maintain a system of national parks and protected areas;
- Promote public awareness and education; and
- Monitor and enforce environmental laws and regulations.
3.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT (CONSTRUCTION PROJECT)

3.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND

The Government of Jamaica (GOJ) and the National Water Commission have taken steps to improve the level of service to existing NWC customers, extend piped water supply to additional households and provide water supply infrastructure to support economic development in key areas of the country. GOJ and NWC have invested heavily in the water supply infrastructure in the north-western section of the country. Over the last ten years, NWC has expanded the Great River Water Treatment Plant from 10 mgd to 15 mgd and constructed a trunk main between Great River and Lucea in Hanover along with water storage tanks; rehabilitated the Martha Brae Water Treatment Plant in Trelawny, constructed a trunk main from the plant to Run-a-way Bay in St. Ann and constructed water storage tanks.

The Kingston Metropolitan Area (KMA) includes Kingston and St. Andrew (KSA), Greater Spanish Town and Southeast St. Catherine (Portmore). Over one million people or nearly 40% of the population of Jamaica resides in the KMA. In addition, the KMA contains many of the islands commercial and industrial facilities.

The KMA has been plagued with inadequate water supply for decades. This is not surprising since development of water production and distribution facilities in the area has not been keeping pace with the growth in demand. Between 1988 and 2008, despite the significant growth in population, there has been no substantial increase in potable water supply capacity.

As a direct result of the lack of adequate investments, the potable water supply system in the KMA is characterized by:

- Inadequate production capacity
- Unreliable supply
- Restrictions on housing and other developments due to lack of water
- Old and inadequate production, transmission and distribution infrastructure
- High levels of technical and commercial losses.

NWC intends to more intensively focus on improving the water supply to KMA. The JWSIP-A, KMA Water Supply and Rehabilitation Project is now being implemented by NWC with financing provided by the Japan Bank of International Cooperation (JBIC) and scheduled for completion by December 2010; and the Kingston Water and Sanitation Project, financed by the Inter-American Development Bank (IDB). It will complement the JWSIP Category B that will go a long way in addressing the perennial water supply problems which for decades have been plaguing the KMA. In fact, the Project will result in an additional 16 mgd of water being made available in the KMA.

The critical pipeline works to be undertaken under the Halls Green project (JWSIP-A) are:

- The new section from the wells to Stony Hill network,
- The connection from the main road to the reservoir.
It is proposed to largely construct the pipelines below ground surface and within road reserves or in verges. All soils within the reserve are either already disturbed, natural soils or imported material for formation of embankments.

As may be demonstrated by the content of this interim EIA, the only lasting negative environmental impact is associated with the visual impact of sections of the pipeline exposed at the toe of the embankments on pillars, crossing culverts and bridges attached to existing headwalls.

3.2 **HALLS GREEN PROJECT**

Hall Greens, located at the north of Stony Hill on the Wag River currently catches water from the same river via a small dam and a pumping station.

The water is caught by the dam and pumped into the Boar River pipeline which supplies raw water to Constant Spring WTP.

Valley Alluvium in the Wagwater River at Halls Green offers the potential to use wells to effect sub-surface diversion of the surface flows, utilising the natural capacity of the alluvium as a treatment plant to remove turbidity and bacteria, thus providing well discharge that only requires chlorination to achieve potability. In this event, the necessity to pump to the Constant Spring Treatment Plant would be eliminated and treated water could be pumped directly into the water distribution system at Halls Green to supply the Golden Spring / Lawrence Tavern, if not an expanded supply area. This has implications for considerable savings in pumping and water treatment costs. Also, it would reduce the area supplied from the Seaview and Constant Spring treatment plants necessitating a review of plans to increase treatment capacity.

The river diversion well option has the potential to be a more efficient and reliable method than the existing surface diversion facility. The river-bed diversion structures of the surface water diversion facility have been washed out (and/or significantly damaged) by flood flows in 1979 and 1988, resulting in the suspension of operations for years at a time. Significant underflow has been observed at the diversion structure during period of low flow, when there was insufficient inflow via the diversion to sustain full time production. There is also high turbidity associated with surface diversion during the rainy season which creates problems for water treatment. On the other hand, river diversion wells would be sited on the alluvium plain, away from the river channel and above all but the most extreme flood levels. They would continue to produce low turbidity water during periods of highly turbid surface flows and have the potential to divert all of the low flow in the river.

The Halls Green project includes the construction of a reservoir. During period of low demand (nights for instance), it is preferable to pump up water up to a reservoir and, when peak consumption period comes, the same water is redistributed to the consumers.

Therefore, a 1,150 m³ reservoir is going to be built at high elevation in the area of Golden Spring to feed back when necessary into the Halls Green distribution system. The pipeline will be extended from the main road to the reservoir.

Also, with the new reservoir, a future expansion of the project could be the construction of a booster station in order to pump the water into the Stony Hill distribution network (currently served by Seaview WTP).
3.3 THE PROPOSED PROJECT

The project proposals include the following elements, the effect on the environment of each of which will be discussed in this interim EIA under the appropriate sections.

- To build two new wells able to produce additional and sustainable 1 MGD of clear water which only requires chlorination.
- To build a 1,150 m³ reservoir at high elevation in the area of Golden Spring.
- To supply and lay a new pipeline in ductile iron DN300 & a DN200 DI pipeline under the road, (1.70 km of DN300, 3.70 km of DN200) from the two wells to the existing Stony Hill distribution network.
3.4 CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PROPOSED PIPELINE INSTALLATION

Pipe laid in the embankment or shoulder

Where the new pipeline is constructed in the trapezoidal side drain of the road, it is envisaged that the drain is first filled with excavated material to provide a working platform for a trenching/excavating machine and that after laying, bedding and protection of the pipeline, the trench is filled with boulders to prevent subsequent scour when the slope is more than 5%.

Crushed stone 3/4 - 3/8 will be used as bedding up to the half of the pipe. The excavated material will be used to backfill up to the invert of the original drain (see cross section).

Following the filling of the trench, the drain would be cleared and reshaped.

Where the pipe is to be laid in the shoulder, or indeed wherever there is a potential for the alignment to be trafficked the cover on the pipe shall be 800 mm. Where the pipe is laid in or outside the verge, the cover shall be 400 mm. (See cross section in Exhibit 3.2).
Exhibit 3.2 – Cross section of Pipe in Embankment

Pipe in the Embankment

\[ D > 3.2 \text{ m from road edge} \]

- Road Embankment
- Soft Shoulder
- Asphalt

- Selected Backfill Material
- Ditch
- 5/8" Gravel
- D.I Pipe ND200/300mm

\[ D < 3.2 \text{ m from road edge} \]

- Road Embankment
- Soft Shoulder
- Asphalt

- Selected Backfill Material
- Ditch
- 5/8" Gravel
- D.I Pipe ND200/300mm
Pipe in the Embankment

with Geotextile replacement

Pipe in the soft shoulder of the road

D < 1.0 m from road edge

D.I Pipe ND200/300mm
Construction of pipeline under the road

The pipes that will be installed from the wells to the Reservoir will be placed in trenches prepared by excavating into soil. Once the works are completed and all tests passed, the road will be finally reinstated by paving back the top of the trench with asphalt (with an overlapping on each side of the trench). (see Exhibit 3.2).

Exhibit 3.3 - Cross Section of Pipe under the road

(Depth less than 800mm under road)

(Depth 800mm or more under road)
**Bridge, culverts and arches crossings**

Where culverts are encountered close to the road surface (anticipated 20 off) allowance is made for double bends and the laying of the pipeline across the top edge of the culvert within the road reserve or below and in front of the existing culvert using deflection of the ductile iron pipeline. In all cases careful consideration will be given in detailed design to maintain the crown level of the pipe outside the shoulder below finished road level.

**Quantities expected:**

- Box culvert: 12
- Bridges: 3

**Exhibit 3.4 - Example of Cross culvert with steel pipe (Pictures taken from the Great River Lucea Water Supply Project)**
Exhibit 3.4 - Example of Cross culvert with steel pipe (Pictures taken from the Great River-Lucea Water Supply Project)
4.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE EXISTING ENVIRONMENT

4.1 CLIMATE

Temperature and Rainfall

The mean climatological data has been provided by the Meteorological Service of Jamaica for the period between 1951 and 1980. This data is detailed in Exhibit 4.1.

Exhibit 4.1 - 1951-1980 Mean Climatological Data (Source - Meteorological Service)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Max temp C</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min Temp C</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall (mm)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raindays</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rel hum - 7am %</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rel hum - 1pm %</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunshine Hrs</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Jamaica is characterised by a subtropical climate, where temperatures are at a minimum during March (mean daily temperature 22.9°) and highest during July and August (mean daily temperature 29.2°). Rainfall data in the North Western Parishes demonstrates rainfall patterns to be typical of the remainder of the Island and demonstrates a general bimodal distribution with rainfall peaks occurring in May and August to October. The period between May and October represents the typical wet season for the region. Relative humidity ranges between 65% and 85% throughout the day, and seasonal variations are also evident, consistent with the rainy season. Hours of sunshine are fairly consistent across the year, ranging from between 7.8 and 8.6 hours per day.

Winds

Data collected by the Meteorological Service between 1981 and 1990, and shown graphically in Exhibit 4.2, reflects the position of the area with respect to the dominant north easterly trade winds. The mean wind speed over the period was 10.3 knots (19.1 km/h). Winds from the south had the highest wind speeds (19.5 knots (kt)) followed by the south south-west. Winds from the ESE had the lowest average wind speeds. Calm winds were reported 14.7% of the time and wind speeds of 1 to 3 knots 4.2% of the time.
4.2 GEOMORPHOLOGY

Topography of the Site

Detailed topographical surveys for the project are not relevant to this interim EIA for the moment as it will mostly follow the existing road. Once the final alignment of the pipe has been chosen, a detailed survey will need to be carried out, including (in the presence of our terrestrial ecologist) a tree survey of mature trees and significant flora.

The approximate longitudinal profile of the pipeline route is provided in Exhibit 4.3 for information.

Exhibit 4.3 – Longitudinal Profile of the Halls Green pipeline
4.3 **AIR QUALITY**

Air quality has been affected in Jamaica by increased emissions from industrial sources, vehicular traffic and open burning of household and commercial wastes. The major industrial sources, including bauxite-alumina processing and mining and quarrying for cement manufacture, are however located away from the KMA area.

Jamaica lacks a routine air quality monitoring programme, and no monitoring data was available for the project area. Monitoring data available for the Kingston and Montego Bay urban areas indicate incidents which exceed World Health Organisation (WHO) quality standards for total suspended particulates and chemical parameters. These recorded episodes have also been associated with significant increases in the number of cases of respiratory tract infections (State of the Environment, NRCA 1997).

The project has the potential to contribute to minimal air quality issues, mainly through excavation activities for the installation of pipes. In addition, material for the work sites and the removal of waste material transported by trucks; steps can easily be taken to reduce air quality problems associated with this activity.

Information on wind strength, speed and direction will be important in the preparation of mitigation plans for dust nuisance.

4.4 **SOILS AND GEOLOGY**

**Macro Geology**

The Island of Jamaica is 205 kilometers long and 73 kilometers wide and is located in the northwestern Caribbean Sea. Like most of the Caribbean Islands, Jamaica is a young landmass. Tectonically the Island lies close to the northern edge of the Caribbean Plate, where it is separated from the North American Plate by the Cayman Trough.

Jamaica can be sub-divided into three major structural blocks, namely the Hanover block in the west, Clarendon block in the centre and Blue Mountains block in the east. The central Clarendon Block is separated from the Hanover and Blue Mountain Blocks by the fault bounded Montpelier-New Market and Wagwater troughs respectively and bounded to the north by the Duanvale fault system.

Physiographically Jamaica may be sub-divided into an eastern, mountainous region, a central and western plateau. The plateau is developed mainly in a capping of Tertiary limestone, in some places showing extreme karst landforms, but where the limestone cover has been breached, the topography consists of steep-sided hills carved in the older underlying Cretaceous rocks. A discontinuous series of coastal plains has developed along the southern coast as a result of drainage from the interior highlands.

Towards the end of the Cretaceous, the Island underwent general uplift, folding, faulting and plutonic intrusions as a result of increased tectonic activity. There is a strong angular unconformity between the Cretaceous and Tertiary rocks. Jamaica’s stratigraphy is composed essentially of three major rock types, in chronological order:

- Basal Cretaceous volcanic and volcaniclastics of low permeability, which occupy about 25 percent of the land area – mainly within inliers along the upland axis of the Island;
• Tertiary lime stones with variably developed karstification and moderate to high permeabilities of the central and western plateau, which occupy about 60 percent of the land area;
• Quaternary alluviums which occupy about 15 percent of the land area mainly in the southern coastal plains and in the floors of interior valleys, of generally moderate permeability in the St. Catherine and Clarendon Plains and low permeability clays elsewhere.

Micro Geology
Very few data is available for the moment about the exact characteristics of the ground encountered along the pipeline routes and the area of the wells and the reservoir for the components under consideration. However, since the pipeline will be laid within the body of fill of the road for part of its length, detailed geotechnical investigation during the design phase will have to be carried out in order to determine the overall effect of the geology and geometry of the road/pipeline alignment.

4.5 HYDROLOGY
No hydrological studies have been carried out at that stage; but if judged essential during the detailed design that a detailed EIA is required, this will be carried out.

4.6 TERRESTIAL ECOLOGY
No terrestrial ecological survey was undertaken. During construction, steps will be taken to avoid destroying or severely damaging the original vegetation; therefore no ecological survey was deemed necessary at this stage. If required by NEPA, this will be done.

4.7 BIODIVERSITY
Jamaica, like most islands, is characterized by a very rich biodiversity. The extent of Jamaica's rich bio-diversity is illustrated in Exhibit 4.4

Some 27% of the higher plants are unique to the Jamaica, more than 200 species of flowering plants have been classified and there are just under 580 species of fern. Trees such as cedar, mahoe, mahogany, logwood, rosewood, ebony, palmetto palm, coconut palm, and pimento (allspice) are common in the country. Mango, breadfruit, banana, and plantain were introduced commercially in the country.

Exhibit 4.4 Summary of Bio-Diversity in Jamaica

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Higher Plants</th>
<th>Mammals</th>
<th>Breeding Birds</th>
<th>Reptiles</th>
<th>Amphibians</th>
<th>Fish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Known Species (1992 - 2002)</td>
<td>3308</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Threatened Species - 2002</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In 1990s, forests covered about 35% of the island, but logging and land clearance for development and agriculture had reduced this to 30% by 2000.

Bird life is rich in the number of species present and also in the number of species unique (endemic) to Jamaica. Compared to the other islands in the Caribbean, Jamaica has the most endemic species of birds with a total of 30 species; 2 of which are believed to be extinct. The parish of Portland and the hills of St. Thomas are the only places where all 28 extant endemic Jamaican birds can be observed.

There are 116 species of butterfly, 17 of which are endemic and 450 species of snail. There are 61 species of reptiles and amphibians but no large indigenous quadrupeds or venomous reptiles. Among the butterflies the Giant Swallowtail, the largest in the western hemisphere is found in the Blue Mountains and John Crow Mountains.

Jamaica has a wide range of bio-geography for its size. Some twelve separate zones are recognized and are shown in Exhibit 4.5. The KMA mainly lies in the Southern Lowlands (SLO) zone, with smaller areas on the Central Upland (CUP) to the north.

Exhibit 4.5 The Biogeographical Zones of Jamaica

![Biogeographical Zones of Jamaica](image)

**KEY:** BMO Blue Mountains, CCO Cockpit Country, CUP Central Uplands, HHI Hellshire Hills, JCM John Crow Mountains, MPL Manchester Plateau, NCO North Coast, PRP Portland Ridge Peninsula, SCM Santa Cruz Mountains, SLO Southern Lowlands, WLO Western Lowlands, WUP Western Uplands.

### 4.8 Cultural Heritage

No national heritage sites or cultural buildings of any significance are to be found at or near the sites neither of the proposed work nor within the road reserves as is confirmed by information from the Jamaica Heritage Trust (see [http://jht.com](http://jht.com))
5.0 SOCIO-ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC STRUCTURE

5.1 SOCIO-ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

Population Growth and Distribution

Data are derived from the 2001 census and the resulting Country Report prepared by the Statistical Institute of Jamaica.

The population of Jamaica grew by close to 1% over the inter-censal period of 1991 to 2001. Parish population changes between 1991 and 2001 varied, with large increases in some parishes and little growth, or even decline in others. Exhibit 5.1 presents the distribution of the population by parish for 1991 and 2001 indicating the changes and rates of growth for the intercensal period.

Just over 43.5 per cent of the population continues to live in the contiguous south-eastern parishes of Kingston, St Andrew and St Catherine.

Exhibit 5.1 - Distribution of the Population and Change between 1991 and 2001 by Parish

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parish</th>
<th>1991 No.</th>
<th>1991 %</th>
<th>2001 No.</th>
<th>2001 %</th>
<th>Absolute Change</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>Annual Rate of Growth %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>2,380,667</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>2,607,631</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>226,965</td>
<td>9.53</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingston</td>
<td>99,761</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>96,052</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>-3,710</td>
<td>-3.72</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Andrew</td>
<td>539,883</td>
<td>22.68</td>
<td>555,827</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>15,947</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Thomas</td>
<td>84,701</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>91,604</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>6,903</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland</td>
<td>76,317</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>80,205</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>3,888</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Mary</td>
<td>108,779</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>111,466</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>2,687</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Ann</td>
<td>149,425</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>166,762</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>17,336</td>
<td>11.60</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trelawny</td>
<td>71,204</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>73,066</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>1,863</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St James</td>
<td>154,197</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>175,127</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>20,962</td>
<td>13.58</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanover</td>
<td>66,106</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>67,037</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westmoreland</td>
<td>128,361</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>138,947</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>10,583</td>
<td>8.24</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Elizabeth</td>
<td>145,651</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>146,404</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manchester</td>
<td>159,605</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>185,801</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>26,196</td>
<td>16.41</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarendon</td>
<td>214,704</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>237,025</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>22,322</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Catherine</td>
<td>381,972</td>
<td>16.04</td>
<td>482,308</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>100,336</td>
<td>26.27</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The 1991 figures have been adjusted to the total population identified at that census. Previously published figures represented the enumerated population of 2,314,479.

One of the most significant aspects of population change in the past thirty years has been the growth of the parish of St Catherine. Simultaneous with this growth has come the continuing decline in the population of the parish of Kingston and the slowing down in the rate of growth of the parish of St Andrew. Kingston and St Andrew had represented the major focus of inter-parish movements and growth in the early twentieth century. Between 1991 and 2001 St Catherine grew by 26.3%, nearly three times the growth observed for the country as a whole. Kingston declined by 3.7%, while St Andrew grew by only 3%. Following St Catherine the fastest growing parishes between 1991 and 2001 were Manchester (16.4%), St James (13.6%) and St Ann (11.6%).

Although the consideration of the 10 years (between 1991 and 2001) do not reflect this in the KSA parish, it is generally accepted that population growth in the KSA and St Catherine Parishes and will continue to grow with the most potential for urban development.
**Population structure**

From a socio demographic study carried out recently in the region (University of the West Indies) it was found that overall 61% of the household heads were male and mean family size in the area is 4.1 persons, a little below the national average.

The population is a young one with over 40% less than 16 years old and an age-dependency ratio of 57%. There is an overall but small excess of females over males. However, in the age-group 15 – 45, the surplus of females is a little higher than average. This may be a function of the economic base of the area and may reflect the movement into the area of younger women seeking employment in activities associated with tourism.

**Household Structure**

The mean number of rooms per household found was 1.5. Using the average family size of 4.1, this means that, overall there are about 2.6 persons per room. Assuming that the ideal is 1.5 persons per room, there is some degree of overcrowding. As expected, the level of overcrowding is higher for squatters than for owners.

About 51% of the households moved to their present address within the last 10 years. About 56% of these recent migrants are squatters.

**Access to Piped Water**

At present approximately 73% of the population has water piped into their houses.

The standard typically used to compare countries is access to potable water and, in particular, the percentage of the population with in-house connections. For Jamaica, the relevant figures, including a breakout for urban and rural areas, are presented below.

**Exhibit 5.2 Population with Access to Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Number of People in Area</th>
<th>% of Population in Area</th>
<th>% of Population with piped water</th>
<th>Persons WITH In-House Taps</th>
<th>Persons WITHOUT In-House Taps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural Areas</td>
<td>1,043,078</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
<td>469,385</td>
<td>573,693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Areas</td>
<td>1,656,922</td>
<td>61.4%</td>
<td>90.0%</td>
<td>1,491,230</td>
<td>165,692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>2,700,000</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>72.6%</td>
<td>1,960,615</td>
<td>739,385</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Employment**

From the demographic study it was found that 41% of the population 14 and over were employed.

Land owning households contributed 36.7% of the total and 42% of employed persons while squatters contributed 42% of households and 44% of employed persons.

**Exhibit 5.3 - Distribution of employed population by type of employment**
Well over 80% of employed persons work full-time. Part-time work accounted for 7% of the population with seasonal and casual work accounting for 2% and 3% respectively.

5.2 **TOURISM**

Jamaica’s economy has become increasingly dependent on tourism. As an industry tourism represents great growth potential since it increases foreign exchange earnings and expands employment opportunities. While tourism brings visitors to Jamaica in search of natural beauty and cultural attractions, the dramatic growth of the industry poses special problems to the national environment and culture. Tourism underscores the need to harmonise environmental, social and economic planning.

There is the growing danger of Jamaica becoming overly dependent on one sector which is subject to international perception of security, to seasonal fluctuations and economic uncertainties.

**Exhibit 5.4 - Tourism Performance Indicators 1998-2002**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Foreign nationals</td>
<td>1128283</td>
<td>1147135</td>
<td>1219311</td>
<td>1186996</td>
<td>1179083</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-resident Jamaicans</td>
<td>97004</td>
<td>101262</td>
<td>103379</td>
<td>89520</td>
<td>87283</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Stop-Overs</td>
<td>1225287</td>
<td>1248397</td>
<td>1322690</td>
<td>1276516</td>
<td>1266366</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruise passengers</td>
<td>673690</td>
<td>764341</td>
<td>907611</td>
<td>840337</td>
<td>865419</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>1898977</td>
<td>2012738</td>
<td>2230301</td>
<td>2116853</td>
<td>2131785</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Length per Stay</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings (US$M)</td>
<td>1197.1</td>
<td>1279.5</td>
<td>1332.6</td>
<td>1232.2</td>
<td>1182.6</td>
<td>-4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exhibit 5.5 – Tourist Arrivals by Country**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTRY OF ORIGIN</th>
<th>1999</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>870019</td>
<td>942561</td>
<td>916681</td>
<td>925629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>100338</td>
<td>107492</td>
<td>111158</td>
<td>97413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>124930</td>
<td>135338</td>
<td>127320</td>
<td>125859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other European</td>
<td>83759</td>
<td>63641</td>
<td>53312</td>
<td>53230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caribbean</td>
<td>38023</td>
<td>43971</td>
<td>40845</td>
<td>41138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>15635</td>
<td>14703</td>
<td>14815</td>
<td>11864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>8411</td>
<td>7779</td>
<td>7859</td>
<td>4664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7283</td>
<td>7205</td>
<td>4526</td>
<td>6569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>1248398</td>
<td>1322690</td>
<td>1276516</td>
<td>1266366</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Room Inventory**

The 2002 Price Waterhouse Coopers survey indicates that there are at least 15,358 hotel rooms in Jamaica at 31 March 2002. Of this total, 14,388 rooms are currently in use and 970, or 6.3% of the 15,358 are unavailable.

Some 12,450 rooms (86%) of the available rooms in Jamaica are located in the Montego Bay, Ocho Rios and Negril regions. Kingston and St. Andrew have some 1,220 rooms (7.9%). Kingston largely caters for business persons.
6.0 EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE AND UTILITIES

6.1 WATER SUPPLY AND SEWERAGE NETWORK

The new line will be laid along the road amongst other existing small diameter potable water lines. The existing network (3 or 4 inches), supplied by the Seaview WTP, is not sufficient to address the water demand in the distribution area.

The existing Halls Green intake provides raw water for the Constant Spring WTP and therefore, residents of the area do not benefit from this water supply.

Habitations encountered along the route of these pipelines mainly have septic tanks or soakage pits. No real sewage system exists in that rural area.

At the future well location, the land owner has already started developing some parcels. There are currently approximately 10 houses already built on that land. After site survey, it was understood that those houses are equipped with soaking pit.

In order to avoid a contamination of the aquifer, hydro geologist has recommended modifying existing soaking pits and replacing by sceptic tank with tiled field. This would prevent contaminants to enter the aquifer. For future development, the NWC will require the installation of such sceptic tanks.

6.2 TRANSPORTATION NETWORK AND TRAFFIC

The new pipeline is located on the road between Kingston and Port Antonio, from the 2 wells to the Stony Hills network. Where short term interruptions are expected as a result of specific types of works, appropriate traffic management will be undertaken, in keeping with an overall traffic management plan that will be prepared in order to minimize disruption for road users. The purpose of this traffic management plan is to outline the requirements to warn traffic of works ahead, to control the speed of traffic approaching and passing through a work area and the steps necessary to protect the workforce and the public from injury or incident during the progress of the works. See sections 7.2.7 and 7.3.4.

These roads are managed by St. Andrew Parish Council and The National Works Agency (NWA) who has responsibility for major roads. Thus, all works, short interruptions, and traffic management in general will be done in co-ordination with the NWA and St Andrew Parish Council.

6.3 ELECTRICITY SUPPLY

The Jamaica is well served with electricity that is provided by the Jamaican Public Service Company. One of the larger generating plants is located in Kingston and the largest facility is located in St. Catherine.

The availability of electricity is not critical to the construction of the pipelines under the JWSIP-A.
6.4 **AIR TRANSPORT**

Jamaica has two international airports, one located at Palisadoes in Kingston and the second located in Montego Bay, St. James. There are aerodromes in Kingston, Ocho Rios in St Ann and Negril in Westmoreland.

There will be minimal use of air transport during the pipeline construction.

6.5 **TELEPHONE / TELECOMMUNICATION**

Jamaica is served by the Lime, Claro and Digicel Telecommunications networks recently upgraded in many areas through installation of new switching stations and fibre optic cables.

Communication among personnel involved in the project using mobile phones and land line will be greatly facilitated by the high quality of the communication infrastructure.

6.6 **SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT**

Residential wastes are estimated to be generated at a rate of approximately 0.6 kg per capita per day. Solid waste generated typically has a high organic content, while levels of metal, glass and paper appear to equate to levels observed elsewhere in the Caribbean. However, there is a trend towards an increase in the volume of wastes being generated consistent with the growth of tourism and the population in the region, as would be expected.

Responsibility for the collection of solid waste in the country is the responsibility of the National Solid Waste Management Authority (NSWMA) and waste collection services are provided free of charge to householders. The collection of waste from commercial premises is carried out at a price negotiated between a waste collection contractor and the owner.

The NSWMA manages a landfill in Riverton, located at 10 kilometres from the Ferry Pump Station. NSWMA allows the dispose of waste at this landfill at price that is negotiated with private contractors who collect and dispose waste.

6.7 **SOCIAL FACILITIES**

All social facilities, schools, hospitals, libraries and other institutions are represented in the area as one may expect from Jamaica’s first largest city, Kingston.
7.0 EVALUATION OF PREDICTED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

7.1 INTRODUCTION

Impact prediction involves the analysis of potential causes of change to the existing environment and a determination of likely effects. An assessment of the potential impacts of a development requires in the first instance a thorough list of all potential impacts, no matter how minor or short term, or whether they are direct, indirect or detailed. Identification requires application of a linkage concept whereby the following are appraised:

- the source and/or cause of the potential problem;
- the receptor of the impact;
- the way in which the effect is transmitted from the source to receptor (pathway); and
- the potential consequences.

Such an appraisal also requires the following to be assessed:

- Magnitude - the size/amount of the impact;
- Significance - the actual effects; and
- Extent - the areas likely to be affected.

In many instances, the appraisal mechanism is subjective, but is nonetheless based upon extensive professional judgment and experience and, and is backed by sound scientific and engineering reasoning.

This section details the assessment of potential environmental impacts for the pipeline: Rio Cobre pipeline – section Section through gorges to Flat Bridge. In the first instance an exhaustive list of potential impacts is presented in tabular form for each the identified phases of development (Site Clearance and Preparation, Construction and Operation). Those impacts assessed as being potentially significant are then discussed further, or else are referenced to other areas of the text which relate to the appropriate discussion of the raised subject. Likely socio-economic impacts are detailed in the proceeding section (Section 8.0).

7.2 SITE CLEARANCE AND PREPARATION PHASE

7.2.1 Impact Assessment

The site clearance and preparation phase concerns the clearance and removal of the existing vegetative cover as well as re-profiling, if necessary. Exhibit 11 summarized the appraisal of potential impacts.
### Exhibit 7.1 - Appraisal of Potential Environmental Impacts During Site Clearance & Preparation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Impact</th>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Importance</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>Type of Impact</th>
<th>Nature</th>
<th>Potential Significance</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loss of vegetation</td>
<td>Flora</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>LT, IR</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>See Section 7.2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitat loss</td>
<td>Fauna</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>LT, IR</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>See Section 7.2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased water run-off</td>
<td>Hydrology</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>LT, IR</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>See Section 7.2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>LT, IR</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>See Section 7.2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise and vibration Nuisance</td>
<td>Humans</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>ST, R</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>See Section 7.2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise and vibration nuisance</td>
<td>Fauna especially birds</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>ST, R</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>See Section 7.2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust creation</td>
<td>Air Quality</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>ST, R</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>See Section 7.2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation of wastes</td>
<td>Water resources and ecology</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>LT, IR</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>See Section 7.2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual impact</td>
<td>Humans</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>LT, IR</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>See Section 7.2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased traffic movements</td>
<td>Humans and Air Quality</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>ST, R</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>See Section 7.2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:**  
I = International  
N = National  
R = Regional  
D = District  
L = Local  
LT = Long Term  
ST = Short Term  
IR = Irreversible  
R = Reversible  

Potential Significance - refers to the anticipated impact based upon the discussions referred to.
7.2.2 Discussion of Vegetation Loss

Potential Impacts - An unavoidable part of any development in general is the clearance and loss of areas of vegetation which currently characterise the site of the development area. Not only may vegetation be lost, but also faunal habitats may also be lost or at least partly destroyed. In addition, the removal of areas of vegetation could mean that the same degree of interception will no longer occur, and consequently increased run-off might be expected.

Baseline Surveys - the proposed route of the pipeline(s), and a walk over inspection of the potential site(s) confirmed that very little vegetation will be destroyed or damaged along the pipeline/route and the secondary roads.

Analysis of Potential Impact - The overall potential impact on vegetation is minimal, in particular because the major part of the new pipeline is located under the road.

Mitigation - No special mitigation measures are deemed necessary other than retention of trees and shrubs, where possible on the potential sites for screening of the visual impact. Where the proposed route requires the removal of any vegetation, care will be taken to minimize the destruction or damage of trees.

Statement of Significance - The significance of the vegetation loss (where vegetation is still existing) during the site clearance (where necessary) is minimal.

7.2.3 Discussion of Soil Loss

Potential Impacts – Soil types develop over significant timescales, consequently these soils are not an unlimited resource, and could typically be lost or damaged during development activities.

Baseline Survey - Along the route of the pipeline insitu soils have been disturbed, are fill material (marl / crushed stone) or where the road is in fill on soft former mangrove or swampland, they are peat.

Analysis of Potential Impact - As may be apparent from the baseline survey, soils at the development site(s) are unlikely to be adversely affected by construction activities.

Mitigation - No mitigation measures are deemed necessary.

Statement of Significance - As most, if not all soils in which or on which construction takes place, are disturbed already the environmental impact of the construction activities is considered minimal.

7.2.4 Discussion of Noise and Air Quality Issues

Potential Impacts - During the course of the clearance and site preparation works, noise will inevitably be generated due to the use of machinery and motorised equipment. Noise can have a significant effect on the environment and on the quality of life enjoyed by individuals and communities. The perception of noise may be reflected by many factors (acoustic and nonacoustic) but in general the impact in response to a noise depends on the level of noise, the margin by which it exceeds the background level, its spectral character and temporal variation.
Other factors may also be important including time of day, day of the week, duration and other acoustic features.

Chippings and dust may be created through exposure of the surface soils and sands and through machinery movements. Dusts, particularly finer particulates, can present respiratory problems when inhaled, as well as potential allergic reactions. In addition, dusts can cause nuisance problems when redeposited.

**Baseline Survey** - Because of the anticipated short term nature of the work and the absence of any noise impacts the development will have when operational, a background noise level survey is not considered necessary for this impact assessment. No present significant noise impact, has been identified. No air quality monitoring data is available for the area, however given the absence of any significant industrial and commercial processes, it is considered that the air quality levels will be below the stipulations by WHO and NEPA.

**Analysis of Potential Impact** - The relatively short term nature and small scale of the works suggest that generated noise levels will not be excessive or cause any major long term nuisances or inconvenience. The works will however present a short term noise nuisance to the public and to the owners adjacent site. Due to the nature of the works, and the fact that significant fine particulates are unlikely to be generated, the local air quality is unlikely to be significantly affected unless construction of the pipeline is done by trenching machine.

**Mitigation** - No specific measures are to be employed except for the provision of mufflers on exhausts and standard restrictions to hours of site works, although it is recommended that provision should be made for water sprays to be available for use when dusts are being generated or at times of strong wind.

The material transported to the construction site will be covered and where appropriate wetted, to minimize dust nuisance. Similarly, care will be taken to minimize dust nuisance arising from the transport of waste material from the work sites.

**Statement of Significance** - The works are not considered to present a significant contribution to background noise levels and hence to the local or wider environment, except on a very short term scale. The local air quality will not be significantly impacted upon by the works.

### 7.2.5 Discussion of Generated Wastes

**Potential Impacts** – Very little vegetation wastes will be generated during the clearing works as there will be little generation of general building waste. Disposal of any waste material will be transported to the Riverton landfill, which is less than 10 km from the Ferry Pump Station.

**Baseline Survey** - Only one waste disposal site, which is located at Riverton serves the area.

**Analysis of Predicted Impact** - The wastes generated by the works are largely inorganic and will not be excessive, and if all were sent to landfill, it is not considered likely that the wastes will impact significantly on the Riverton landfill site. It is however important that the ultimate fate of the wastes be monitored so that they are not illegally disposed of.

**Mitigation** – Wastes are largely of an inorganic nature and if disposed to the existing landfill site, further mitigation measures are not deemed necessary.

Measures to ensure that waste materials from the Project are disposed at suitable licensed landfill sites will be taken. These will include engaging only reputable truckers and conducting appropriate spot checks to verify that disposal are done in accordance with the requirements of NEPA and other agencies.
Statement of significance - If carefully managed and controlled, the generation of any significant wastes from the clearing works is considered unlikely to impact upon the local environment or put additional pressures on the current waste management situation for the area.

7.2.6 Discussion of Visual Impact

Potential Impact – the removal of vegetation where this becomes inevitable and subsequent redevelopment of the site will have a negative visual impact, particularly where the new reservoir is constructed.

Baseline Survey – The new pipeline from the wells to the Stony Hill Network will be constructed under the road. However, the construction of the two wells and the reservoir should be taken in consideration for the visual impact

Analysis of Potential Impacts – Construction of the pipeline will only impact on the visual environment where the pipeline is not buried, i.e. near the culvert box. But overall, the visual impact is minor because most of the pipeline will be installed below ground anyhow.

Mitigation - Removal of any trees or vegetation along the alignment of the pipeline will impact on the visual environment. As already indicated, where the proposed route requires the removal of any vegetation, care will be taken to minimize the destruction or damage of trees. Replanting will be done where appropriate or specifically stipulated by the relevant authorities.

Statement of Significance – It is impossible to totally mitigate against the visual impact where it is necessary to construct reservoir, wells and pipeline above ground. However, pipeline construction above ground is widely practiced on the Island and its impact, particularly at the toe of the embankments or on culvert or brick walls is minimal.

7.2.7 Discussion of Potential Impact of Traffic

Baseline Survey – The pipeline will be laid along the main road between Kingston and Port Antonio. No traffic count was done along this route.

Analysis of Potential Impact - During preparation for pipeline installation, there will be a minimal impact on the traffic.

Mitigation – The new pipeline will be laid in the embankment or in the soft shoulder of the road to avoid disturbances on the traffic. But, where short term interruptions are expected as a result of specific types of works, appropriate traffic management will be undertaken, in keeping with an overall traffic management plan that will be prepared. The purpose of this traffic management plan is to outline the requirements to warn traffic of works ahead, to control the speed of traffic approaching and passing through a work area and the steps necessary to protect the workforce and the public from injury or incident during the progress of the works, for example (no exhaustive list):

1. Signage will be provided at each end of every working front if it impacts on traffic movements
2. Signage will be erected in accordance with the layouts set out below for particular work activities depending on the activities’ locations relative to the sealed carriageway
3. Signage will be moved if necessary as the work progresses during the day.
4. If possible, works will be stopped at rush hours to avoid disturbances.

5. Stop/go signs and flag men/women will be used to control and warn approaching traffic whenever the work area is in or adjacent to the road carriageway and when heavy equipment is regularly entering the highway in an area.

6. Detours will, if possible and if approved by Parish Councils and property owners, be arranged to divert traffic. “Detour” signs will be placed at the start of the detour in both start locations and along the detour, if required.

7. "Caution trucks entering 200m" will erected 200 metres either side of a major truck entry and exit point such as at the site office entrance and at pipe and fitting storage areas.

In connection with the National Water Commission, a communication plan around the traffic management will be created to inform road users of the works and the available detours. Notices could be published in newspapers, on the radio and the TV, during the preparation phase.

Statement of Significance – According to the existing traffic on the road between Kingston and Port Antonio, the impact will be important but in a short term period where interruptions are expected. Moreover, the traffic management plan could mitigate a large part of this impact.
### 7.3 CONSTRUCTION PHASE

#### 7.3.1 Impact Assessment

The construction phase concerns the actual construction of the project and development up until its subsequent opening and operation. Exhibit 7.2 summarizes the appraisal of potential impacts.

**Exhibit 7.2 - Appraisal of Potential Environmental Impacts during Construction Works**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Impact</th>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Importance I</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Nature</th>
<th>Potential Significance</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dust emissions</td>
<td>Air quality</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>ST, R</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>See Section 7.3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise and vibration</td>
<td>Humans</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>ST, R</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>See Section 7.3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise and vibration</td>
<td>Fauna especially birds</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>ST, R</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>See Section 7.3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestion and over use of roads</td>
<td>Road infrastructure</td>
<td>x x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>ST, R</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>See Section 7.3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety risk due to extra traffic</td>
<td>Humans, Fauna</td>
<td>x x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>ST, R</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>See Section 7.3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestion and use of roads</td>
<td>Air quality</td>
<td>x x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>ST, R</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>See Section 7.3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscaping</td>
<td>Flora and fauna</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>LT</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>Partly off-sets the loss of flora and fauna - See 7.2.2 &amp; 7.2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accidental spills or leakages</td>
<td>Water resources</td>
<td>x x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>LT, R</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>See Section 7.3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface water run-off</td>
<td>Water resources</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>ST, R</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>See Section 7.3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health &amp; Safety risk</td>
<td>Humans, fauna</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>See Section 7.3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste generation</td>
<td>Water resources</td>
<td>x x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>LT, IR</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>See Section 7.3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of local construction materials</td>
<td>Flora, fauna geology and air quality</td>
<td>x x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>LT, IR</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>See Section 7.3.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:**  I = International  N = National  R = Regional  D = District  L = Local  LT = Long Term  ST = Short Term  IR = Irreversible  R = Reversible

Potential Significance - refers to the anticipated impact based upon the discussions referred to.
7.3.2 Discussion of Air Quality Issues

Potential Impacts - Construction unavoidably creates dust during the course of site works due to material and vehicle movement and construction activities. Fine particles may also be lifted from exposed surfaces by the action of wind, although the extent and nature of the generated dusts is dependent upon the materials to be used.

Dusts, particularly finer particulates can present respiratory problems when inhaled, as well as potential allergic reactions. In addition, dusts can cause nuisance problems when redeposited.

Baseline Survey - No air quality monitoring data is available for the area however, given the absence of any significant industrial and commercial processes in the area, it is considered that the air quality will be good.

Analysis of Potential Impacts - Experience of construction projects suggests that, whilst dust generation will inevitably occur for short duration, its extent and impact will be minimal and of minor concern. Emissions to air will not lead to increases in gases in excess of the natural variation in the range of concentrations expected. Furthermore, the natural on site soils are of such a particle size distribution so as not to be easily airborne.

Mitigation - Provision should be made available for water sprays to be used when dusts are being generated or at times of strong wind. All materials stored or stockpiled on site should be adequately covered, and vehicles leaving the site should pass through wheel washes where practicable.

Statement of significance - Dusts will be generated during the course of the construction activities, however it is considered unlikely that ambient air quality standards will be exceeded. The generation of dusts is not considered be significant and will not present any long term problems or nuisances to the surrounding area, although temporary short term nuisances may be experienced in the local vicinity of the works.

7.3.3 Discussion of Noise and Vibration

Potential Impacts - Noise can have a significant effect on the environment and on the quality of life enjoyed by individuals and communities. The perception of noise may be reflected by many factors (acoustic and non-acoustic) but in general the impact in response to a noise depends on the level of noise, the margin by which it exceeds the background level, its spectral character and temporal variation. Other factors may also be important including time of day, day of the week, duration and other acoustic features. Vibrations, even very low magnitude, may be perceptible to people and can interfere with the satisfactory conduct of certain activities. Noise will be generated during the construction works by both the use of machinery, movement of materials and the movement of vehicles, and could cause a nuisance to local residents.

Baseline Survey - Because of the anticipated short term nature of the work and the absence of noise impacts the project will have when operational, a background noise level survey was not considered necessary for this impact assessment. No present significant noise impacts have been identified in the area.

Analysis of Predicted Impact - The short term nature and scale of the construction works suggest that generated noise levels will not be excessive or cause any major nuisances or inconvenience. The works are considered not to present a significant any impact in terms of noise and vibration generation. However, short term nuisance and inconvenience will be experienced by land residents.
Mitigation - No specific measures are to be employed except standard restrictions to hours of site works, and the fitting of mufflers on construction equipment. The residents will be sensitized ahead of the commencement of works. A liaison person should be designated by NWC to relate with the residents during the works.

Statement of significance - The works are likely to present a significant but short term and temporary contribution to background noise levels, but are unlikely to affect the wider environment. No long term damage is likely to result from the anticipated levels of noise which will be generated by the works.

7.3.4 Discussion of Transport Issues

Potential Impacts - Increased traffic movements to and from the site will be an inevitable consequence of the construction works. Such movements will be due to material delivery, waste disposal and workers going to and from work. Potential problems resulting from such increased traffic movements include congestion of the existing road network, safety risks to humans, air quality deterioration due to vehicular exhaust emissions (nitrous oxides, carbon monoxide, hydrocarbons and particulates), excessive noise affecting both humans and the fauna (see Section 7.3.3), as well as wider scale implications due to the emission of greenhouse and ozone depleting exhaust gases.

Baseline survey – The Wag Water River Road (A3) is the main route between Kingston and Port Antonio. As a consequence, there is heavy traffic and congestion sometimes. A survey of air quality has not been undertaken as already discussed in Section 7.3.3, but given the site’s location and distance from significant industrial and urban centres, it is considered unlikely that an air quality problem currently exists. Ambient noise levels have also not been recorded as already described in Section 7.3.2.

Analysis of Potential Impact - Based upon experience of the road and transportation network serving the area, the direct creation of congestion due to the construction works is anticipated. Any increase will however inevitably lead to a slight deterioration of the local air quality due to exhaust emissions and dust creation. However such deterioration is not expected to cause elevations above NEPA set ambient air quality levels and WHO health levels, given the relative scale and short duration of the construction work. In summary, the increased movements are considered unlikely to be detrimental to the overall air quality or significantly alter local traffic movements. The additional traffic movements on the local road network are not expected to result in the road becoming more dangerous than it currently is. However, the heavy traffic of the road could be deteriorated by the pipes works: diminution of the road width, alternate traffic, or temporary interruption.

Mitigation - Restrictions on the movement of vehicles may be placed so as to avoid any anticipated peak levels, as well as phasing of traffic movements to and from the site so as to avoid potential convoys which could cause local scale congestion. In addition all trucks should not be over laden, and should be regularly serviced. Good driving practices should be required from all delivery drivers. When necessary, a suitable junction/access point is also needed off the highway to prevent local traffic congestion.

Wherever and whenever construction approaches on the road or potentially affects the traffic signage needs to be placed and formal flagmen / women need to be employed in order to ensure the public safety.
In connection with the National Water Commission (NWC) and the National Work Agency (NWA), a communication plan around the traffic management will be created to inform road users of the works and the available detours. Notices could be published in newspapers, on the radio and the TV, during the construction phase, according to the communication plan set up during the preparation phase.

Statement of Significance - The traffic movements are considered likely to present a major impact on the road network with respect to congestion, and an overall minimal contribution to any deterioration in air quality. With careful consideration and implementation of the works programme, any potential impacts will be minimised thanks to the information given to the road users and the traffic management plan described in the Section 7.2.7.

7.3.5 Discussion of Potential Accidental Spills or Leakages

Potential Impacts - The principal chemicals held on the site during the construction site is likely to be the chlorine. Spillage or escape of such compound is likely to have an immediate impact upon the local water resources and consequently on the terrestrial and marine flora and fauna.

During the brief testing phase, water is abstracted from the aquifer and discharged in the river. No impact is foreseen, as the same water is returned in same quantities into the river.

Baseline Surveys - The flora and fauna and hydrological situation at the site has been discussed already in Sections 4.5, and 4.6. There is a requirement for proper storage of chemicals / materials.

Analysis of Potential Impacts - Without appropriate site management, spillages and leakages may occur.

Mitigation - Chemicals tanks should be appropriately bounded, with bounded areas having at least a standard capacity volume of 1.5 times the storage tank capacity. Furthermore, refuelling areas should be underlain by sealed hard-standing so as to provide an initial barrier to downward migration from accidental leakages. In the unfortunate event of a spillage or leakage, an emergency action plan (pre-determined) should be implemented to minimise any impacts.

Statement of Significance - The accidental spillage of chemicals (chlorine) stored on site presents a significant hazard to the local environment, however the risk of such an event is considered to be low. This risk will be further reduced by the described mitigation measures.

7.3.6 Discussion of Potential Surface Water Run-Off

Potential Impacts - Surface water run-off from the construction sites during all stages of the works, but particularly during the construction phase could cause potential impacts upon the local terrestrial and marine environment. Run-off directly into the sea could result in a deterioration of the marine water quality through carried sediments and/or chemical contaminants.

Baseline Survey – The existing roadway and tracks have provisions for drainage in some sections.

Analysis of Potential Impact – Where the pipeline is laid in high slope the backfill may be scoured out during heavy rain and deposit silt into natural drainage channels and hence to the river or the sea. Same arrangements are foreseen for the wells and the reservoir sites.

Mitigation - During the course of the construction works, temporary drainage channels should be constructed to encourage dispersal of meteoric waters. Storage and stockpiling of materials on the
site should be away from drainage channels. Backfill of trenches in or near drains should be
topped with rock fill to stop scour where drains have a gradient of 5% or over. Lastly, the road
access will have provision for drainage.

*Statement of significance* - Run-off of waters from the site are considered unlikely to constitute a
significant environmental impact providing appropriate measures are implemented during the
duration of the construction works.

7.3.7 Discussion of the Health & Safety Risk to the Public from the Construction Works

*Potential Impacts* - Construction sites are hazardous environments due to a range of activities and
works being undertaken, vehicle movements, electrical, mechanical equipment, craneage,
presence of scaffolding etc. Open access to the construction site will undoubtedly result in injury
and potentially fatal accidents.

*Baseline Survey* – Roads and highway has public access and must be controlled.

*Analysis of Potential Impact* - Potential accidents are not anticipated if the construction site is
appropriately managed and controlled.

*Mitigation* – All construction workers should be advised of the dangers associated with
construction work. They should be provided with suitable foot wear, hard hats, protective glasses
and generally with safety equipment where appropriate. Trenches over 1.5 m deep or wherever
soil conditions dictate should be shored and secured against accidental entry by public and where
construction activities interfere with the movement of traffic, the site should be signed and
controlled by trained flagmen/flagwomen and lit by night.

*Statement of significance* - Health and safety impacts to the public are not anticipated during the
construction works provided all mitigating measures are strictly adhered to.

7.3.8 Discussion of the Generation of Wastes

*Potential Impacts* - Waste materials will inevitably be generated during the construction works.
Such materials will require disposal to landfill. Landfill sites produce significant negative
environmental impacts to water resources and ecology, particularly if poorly constructed and
managed. Illegal or uncontrolled disposal of the wastes will create even greater environmental
and potential public health concerns.

*Baseline Survey* - Only one waste disposal site, which is located at Riverton, currently serves the
area, although alternative disposal sites are currently being appraised by NEPA.

*Analysis of Potential Impact* - The volumes of wastes generated by the works will not be
excessive, and the nature of the wastes will generally be inert and therefore are unlikely to
constitute a significant hazard. It is not considered likely that the wastes will impact significantly
on the landfill site. It is however important that the ultimate fate of the wastes is monitored so
that they are not illegally disposed of.

*Mitigation* - It is recommended that a waste minimisation approach is adopted as part of the
construction works. In addition, the fate of disposed wastes should be carefully monitored to
ensure they are being legally land filled at a recognised controlled site.

*Statement of significance* - If carefully managed and controlled, the generation of any wastes
from the construction works is considered unlikely to impact upon the local environment or put
additional pressures on the current waste management situation for the area.
7.3.9 Discussion of the Requirement and Use of Local Building Materials

Potential Impacts - Construction materials will be required from the local area and indeed from elsewhere on the Island. Obtaining such materials can have potentially adverse environmental effects such as dust creation, land take from quarrying works, dredging of sand, shale and gravel and the felling of trees to provide timber.

Baseline Survey - It is estimated that the development will require stone, sand, marl, concrete/blocks, steel and timber. These can largely be supplied from local sources, although the supply of sand is likely to be from Black River on the south coast of Jamaica.

Analysis of Potential Impact - Providing the materials are obtained from appropriately managed and licensed operations, significant environmental impacts are not expected. The sources of the local materials will not be specific to the construction of this project.

Mitigation - The sources of all required materials should be inspected prior to acquisition to confirm that they are legitimate operations.

Statement of significance - If carefully controlled, the requirement for local building materials is considered unlikely to significantly impact upon the local environment or indeed on the national environment.

7.4 OPERATIONAL PHASE

7.4.1 Impact Assessment

Exhibit 7.3 - Appraisal of Potential Environmental Impacts during Operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Impact</th>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Importance</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Nature</th>
<th>Potential Significance</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waste generation</td>
<td>Water resources and ecology</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LT, IR</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>See Section 7.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual impact</td>
<td>Humans</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>LT, IR</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>See Section 7.4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water requirements</td>
<td>Water resources</td>
<td>X X X</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>LT, IR</td>
<td>Remarkable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewage</td>
<td>Water resources</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise and vibration</td>
<td>Humans and fauna</td>
<td>X X No</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accidental spills</td>
<td>Water resources</td>
<td>X X Negative</td>
<td>LT, R</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>Chlorine – See Section 7.4.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic generation</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.4.1 Discussion of Visual Impact

Potential Impact – The construction of the reservoir and the control buildings around the wells will have a negative visual impact.

Baseline Survey – The project foreseen the construction of one reservoir, two wells and control buildings associated (one chlorine contact tank for the 2 wells, for example).

Analysis of Potential Impacts – Constructions will only impact on the visual environment where it is not buried by the vegetation. Moreover, the other buildings and the installations are not too high and will not distort the landscape in the long term.

Mitigation - The reservoir could be semi-buried in order to minimize the visual impact on the environment.

Statement of Significance – It is impossible to avoid the visual impact of the construction during the operation phase

7.4.2 Discussion of Water Requirements

Potential Impacts – During the process of abstraction of the water from the aquifer, the water table in the aquifer will be reduced and could potentially affect the level of water in the Wag Water River.

Baseline Survey – The 2 wells could abstract a maximum of 2 MGD from the aquifer. However no direct link has been established between the level of the water table in the aquifer and the level of water in the river.

Analysis of Potential Impact – during operation, there is a potential link between the resources of the river and the resources of the groundwater associated. While a maximum of 2 MGD is abstracted from aquifer, a diminution of surface stream could be expected; but not necessarily up to 2 MGD as the Wag Water River beneficiates of recharge from various alluviums. The diminution of surface water flow, could affect the communities and the environment located downstream from the river.

Mitigation – During drought periods, where low surface flow is noticed, it is possible to reduce abstraction from the well and to schedule pump operation in conjunction with low water demand periods.

Statement of Significance – It is considered that the volumes of water abstracted will only have a minor environmental impact in the view of the benefits for the Jamaican population.
8.0 EVALUATION PREDICTED SOCIAL ECONOMIC IMPACTS

The social economic impact is a means of identifying or predicting the probable impacts of projects such as JWSIP. It is recognized that levels of impacts will occur at all stages of the project life-cycle – Preliminary Undertakings, Construction/Implementation and the Operation/Maintenance stage.

With this in mind, additional work will be done to better define some of these impacts in terms of their desirability, scale, duration in time and space, intensity or severity, cumulative or counter balancing.

(a) Some Project Benefits

The provision of water to areas where there either was none before and/or to areas which previously had intermittent supply or depended on tanker ed water can only have a positive impact on the social economics of the people in the Kingston Saint Andrew and St Catherine Parishes.

The JWSIP will benefit the population directly, enhance the living environment, open up new areas for development and hence provide work opportunities for local people.

The benefits of the project will include:

- Availability of sufficient production capacity for the KMA for the first time in decades
- Virtual elimination of major water lock-offs in the KMA, including during dry periods
- General improvements in service reliability and pressure levels
- Reduction in technical and commercial losses
- Increased number of residents in rural areas with access to reliable water supply
- Increased economic activity and spin-offs during the construction period as well as during the operating stages of the project.

(b) Land Acquisition

NWC will use its standard approach to the acquisition of lands for the Jamaica Water Supply Improvement Project. This approach involves making every attempt to locate and inform landowners that NWC is interested in acquiring all or part of their land and is desirous of acquiring by way of sale or lease. Where an owner is willing to deal with the NWC, an arms length transaction is negotiated with the owner on the basis of the market value of the property, as determined by a Chartered Valuer.

Where an owner cannot be located or is unwilling to sell to the NWC, the Commission will invoke the compulsory acquisition process as set out under the NWC Act and the Land Acquisition Act (see appendix 1) and will proceed as indicated under these Acts. The Acts provide for owners to be compensated at market value; where no agreement can be reached on compensation the Acts facilitate the matter being settled by the Court.
Where an owner cannot be located, arrangements are made to have monies paid into an escrow account.

9.0 ALTERNATIVES

In the case of the Jamaica Water Supply Improvement Project (Category A Works), the potential environmental impacts are minimal and the options for alternatives are few.

In fact, apart from supporting and sustaining further development and thus helping to increase the pressure of human activity on the environment, the project in itself is ultimately beneficial to the people of Jamaica.
10.0 MITIGATION MANAGEMENT PLAN

10.1 INTRODUCTION

This section details the opportunities for reducing identified negative environmental impacts by mitigating for adverse effects of the project.

Typically, three forms of mitigation are identified; these are (a) avoidance, (b) reduction and (c) remedy. The following mitigation measures have already been discussed in Section 7, and are summarized for the different stages below.

Common to the site clearance and construction phase is the need to appoint an experienced independent environmental/safety supervisor on site who reports both to the contractor and the NWC / Ministry of Health / NEPA. This role could be combined with construction supervision.

10.2 SITE CLEARANCE AND PREPARATION PHASE

The following will be undertaken during the site clearance and preparation phases in an effort to identify and develop appropriate mitigation measures to reduce negative environmental impacts.

- A walk over survey of a terrestrial ecologist will be required;
- Minimisation of the volumes of waste generated. Any vegetation removed is to be shredded and used on site as mulch;
- Ensure vehicles are regularly serviced - to prevent unnecessary exhaust emissions;
- Control vehicle movements on and off the site;
- Ensure the site is appropriately signed to warn of the potential dangers;
- Ensure general good site management and health and safety awareness are employed;
- Check the local material sources/suppliers for legitimacy of operations.

10.3 CONSTRUCTION PHASE

In addition to the points mentioned above, during the construction phase, it is necessary to action the following:

- Provision for water sprays - to control dust generation if necessary;
- All stockpiled materials to be suitably covered - to prevent dust generation by wind action;
- Restriction of work hours - to minimise the noise impact on local residents;
- Vehicles not to be over laden - thus preventing road damage;
- Vehicle movements to be phased to avoid peak hours – where construction interferes with traffic, use of proper signage and flagmen;
- Phase of vehicles to avoid convoys - and thus avoid local generation of congestion;
• Requirement for good driving practices - to ensure they are from legal and controlled operations;
• Construct temporary drainage channels - to control the direction and movement of any run-off.
• Store/stockpile materials away from drainage channels.

10.4 OPERATIONAL PHASE

According to the Quality, Safety and Environment policy of the National Water Commission about the water quality, testing will be executed during the operational phase in order to provide a good working service to its costumers.

Consequently, the NWC will preserve natural resources and actively prevent pollutions on site.

One target of the mitigation management plan concern the potential accidental spills or leakages of chemicals on site, due to a lack of maintenance. Especially, chlorine will be handled with care and correctly stored on site to avoid risks of leaking.

11.0 ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT AND TRAINING

It is recommended that the Contractor organise an environmental awareness and health and safety training session for all his staff prior to commencement of the project and maintain strict standards throughout the execution of the works.
12.0 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAMME

12.1 INTRODUCTION

Many of the proposed environmental monitoring procedures have already been discussed and detailed in Sections 7.0 and 10.0, but are summarised below:

12.2 MONITORING DURING SITE CLEARANCE AND PREPARATION

- Undertake checking of retained trees and vegetation by a suitably qualified person to ensure they have not been damaged by the works; and
- Undertake daily reviews of the volumes of wastes being generated and keep records relating to its ultimate disposal.

12.3 MONITORING DURING CONSTRUCTION WORKS

- Daily inspections as part of the construction works to ensure an appropriate quality of workmanship;
- Daily observations of the direction and strength of wind to control the release of dusts;
- Undertake daily inspections of delivery vehicles serving the site to ensure they are not overladen;
- Undertake daily reviews of the volumes of wastes being generated and keep records relating to its ultimate disposal;
- Monitor and approve the suppliers and sources of local materials to ensure they are legal; and
- Undertake checking of retained trees and vegetation in landscaped areas by a suitably qualified person to ensure they are not damaged and are responding to relocation and reinstatement.

12.4 MONITORING DURING OPERATIONS

Following completion of the works and commissioning of the new water supply system, it would be beneficial to review the cost benefit to NWC and to the economy of the country as a reference for planning and implementation of similar projects.