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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

South Africa Mainstream Renewable Power Kangnas RF Pty Ltd (Mainstream) has contracted Chris van Rooyen Consulting to perform a avifaunal “walk-through” of the authorised Kangnas Wind Energy Facility (WEF). The WEF is situated approximately 50km from the town of Springbok in the Northern Cape Province, comprises approximately 70 wind turbines and a 132/220kV grid connection of 13.2km.

The terms of reference for this report are as follows:

- To indicate specific mitigation measures that need to be implemented to address potential impacts on avifauna associated with the placement of the turbines and the grid connection; and
- To verify if there is any need for micro adjustment of wind turbine positions due to envisaged impacts on avifauna.

Displacement due to disturbance during construction

- Construction activity should be restricted to the immediate footprint of the infrastructure.
- Access to the remainder of the site should be strictly controlled to prevent unnecessary disturbance of priority species.
- Measures to control noise and dust should be applied according to current best practice in the industry.
- Maximum use should be made of existing access roads and the construction of new roads should be kept to a minimum.
- If an active nest of a priority species is encountered in the construction footprint, the nest must not be disturbed and the Environmental Control Officer (ECO) should be informed. The ECO must in conjunction with a suitably experienced avifaunal specialist devise a strategy to minimise the disturbance to the breeding birds. This may involve translocation of the nest, or where that is not deemed possible, it may entail the removal of the eggs or small chicks to a suitably equipped rehabilitation centre, for artificial rearing.
- A 250m no construction buffer zone should be implemented around the Greater Kestrel nest at 29°36'46.17"S 18°22'57.56"E (see Figure 3). This would require the shifting of turbine 44.
- A 250m no construction buffer zone should be implemented around the corvid nest at 29°36'46.17"S 18°22'57.56"E (see Figure 3), on the assumption that the nest may be used by raptors. This would not require the shifting of any turbines.

Collisions with the earthwire of the grid connection

It is highly recommended that the earth wire of the entire grid connection is marked with anti-collision devices.

The proposed devices to be used, and the manner of marking is indicated APPENDIX 1.
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I, Chris van Rooyen as duly authorised representative of Chris van Rooyen Consulting, and working under the supervision of and in association with Albert Froneman (SACNASP Zoological Science Registration number 400177/09) as stipulated by the Natural Scientific Professions Act 27 of 2003, hereby confirm my independence (as well as that of Chris van Rooyen Consulting) as a specialist and declare that neither I nor Chris van Rooyen Consulting have any interest, be it business, financial, personal or other, in any proposed activity, application or appeal in respect of the Kangnas Wind Farm walk-through other than fair remuneration for professional services performed.
1. BACKGROUND

Mainstream Renewable Power (Mainstream) has contracted Chris van Rooyen Consulting to perform a avifaunal “walk-through” of the authorised Kangnas Wind Energy Facility (WEF). The WEF is situated approximately 50km from the town of Springbok in the Northern Cape Province, comprises approximately 70 wind turbines and a 132/220kV grid connection of 13.2km.

Figure 1 below is a satellite image of the planned lay-out of the wind turbines, and the grid connection.

![Figure 1: Map of the proposed lay-out of the Kangnas WEF (yellow placemarks) and grid connection (green line).](image)

2. TERMS OF REFERENCE

The terms of reference for this report are as follows:

- To indicate specific mitigation measures that need to be implemented to address potential impacts on avifauna associated with the placement of the turbines and the grid connection; and
- To verify if there is any need for micro adjustment of wind turbine positions due to envisaged impacts on avifauna.

3. ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

- The final layout of the wind turbine positions and the grid connection was received from Mainstream in Google Earth format on 10 November 2015. The wind turbine
lay-out is contained in a file called: 20151013-Kangnas-DNVGL-70T-V110_2.0-140MW-7X3RD-210deg-BAv11-UTM34s-FC L....kmz. The grid connection is contained in a file called: Kangnas grid route Feb 14.kmz. It is assumed that the positions are accurately indicated, and it was used as the basis for proposed micro-adjustments.

- It was deemed futile to survey an area at each turbine position for ground nesting species as the species involved, e.g. larks, korhaans and bustards, unlike raptors, do not build conspicuous nests at a specific locality which is likely to be used every year. Pre-emptive buffer zones would therefore not be effective. Instead specific mitigation measures are recommended should a priority species nest be encountered during the construction of the turbines (see 5.1 below).

4. METHODS

- The site was investigated on 3 and 4 February 2016, on foot and using a 4 x 4 vehicle.
- Nesting data contained in two reports, namely the Avifaunal Impact Assessment (Harebottle 2012) and the pre-construction monitoring report (Bio3 2013) were also considered in the recommendations. The investigations focused on potential priority raptor species breeding habitat and in particular rocky outcrops, boreholes and trees located within or very close to the turbine area.

5. RESULTS

- During the investigation a Greater Kestrel (Falco rupicoloides) nest with two adult birds perched close to the nest was recorded at 29°36'46.17"S 18°22'57.56"E in a Mesquite (Prosopis spp.) tree close to a borehole. This was taken as an indication that the nest is active.
- A nest originally constructed by a corvid, most likely a Pied Crow Corvus albus, was recorded on a rocky outcrop at 29°39'42.48"S 18°23'42.29"E with large amounts of whitewash. The possibility exists that the nest could be also used by a raptor species, particularly a Greater Kestrel or Rock Kestrel Falco rupicolus, and should therefore be treated as potentially sensitive.
- Cognisance was taken of previously recorded nests particularly two Verreaux’s Eagle nests recorded during the pre-construction monitoring with an approximate location of 29°31'4.49"S 18°18'26.34"E. The birds were not observed during the site visit.

See Figures 2 and 3 below for the location of the nests at the Kangnas WEF.
Figure 2: The location of key nests relative to the Kangnas turbine lay-out and grid connection.

Figure 3: A closer view of the Greater Kestrel nest location.
6. IMPACT MITIGATION

6.1 Displacement due to disturbance during construction

- Construction activity should be restricted to the immediate footprint of the infrastructure.
- Access to the remainder of the site should be strictly controlled to prevent unnecessary disturbance of priority species.
- Measures to control noise and dust should be applied according to current best practice in the industry.
- Maximum use should be made of existing access roads and the construction of new roads should be kept to a minimum.
- If an active nest of a priority species is encountered in the construction footprint, the nest must not be disturbed and the Environmental Control Officer (ECO) should be informed. The ECO must in conjunction with a suitably experienced avifaunal specialist devise a strategy to minimise the disturbance to the breeding birds. This may involve translocation of the nest, or where that is not deemed possible, it may entail the removal of the eggs or small chicks to a suitably equipped rehabilitation centre, for artificial rearing.
- A 250m no construction buffer zone should be implemented around the Greater Kestrel nest at 29°36'46.17"S 18°22'57.56"E (see Figure 3). This would require the shifting of turbine 44.
- A 250m no construction buffer zone should be implemented around the corvid nest at 29°36'46.17"S 18°22'57.56"E (see Figure 3), on the assumption that the nest may be used by raptors. This would not require the shifting of any turbines.

6.2 Collisions with the earthwire of the grid connection

Several power line collision prone priority species were recorded during the pre-construction monitoring by Harebottle (2102) and Bio3 (2013). These include Ludwig’s Bustard, Kori Bustard, Karoo Korhaan, Secretarybird, Verreaux’s Eagle and Northern Black Korhaan. Due to the uniformity of the vegetation within the study area and the current understanding of power line collision risk in birds, attempting to proactively identify high risk sections of power line (especially for species with unpredictable movement patterns such as the terrestrial species mentioned above) is difficult (Bevanger 1994, Jenkins et al. 2010, Barrientos et al. 2011), given the number of localised factors that contribute to the cause of collisions (Shaw, 2013). Furthermore there is likely to be regular movement of the pair of Verreaux’s Eagles across the proposed alignment when moving between the nesting area and foraging areas to the west (pers.obs). It is therefore highly recommended that the earth wire of the entire grid connection is marked with anti-collision devices.

The marking of the earth wire with anti-collision devices is a standard practice worldwide to mitigate for avian impacts. This measure has been proved to be reasonably successful in reducing collisions, with success rates of up to 60% reduction in mortality and even more documented (see Jenkins et al. 2010, Hoogstad 2015).

The proposed devices to be used, and the manner of marking is indicated APPENDIX 1.
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APPROVED BIRD FLIGHT DIVERTERS TO BE USED ON ESKOMS LINES
/MITIGATING DEVICES

This Technical Bulletin replaces all other Technical Bulletins that were published previously.

The following two flight diverters (mitigating devices) have been successfully installed and successfully tested on an active line in the Colesberg area.

1) EBM Flapper

Buyers guide number DDT 3053

The EBM bird flapper tested for the following:
Pull down test (spirally moving along the conductor) for squirrel and Hare conductor
Testing for radio interference at 27kv on fox conductor
Testing for corona at 27kv on fox conductor
Salt fog test for 1000 hours.

The flapper was installed live line on a line in the NW region in conjunction with EWT and proved very successful as a mitigating device.

From field experience and the testing of the flapper it was decided at the Envirotech work group meeting that this EBM flapper can be used on conductors ranging from 6mm to 24mm on ACSR, AAAC conductors and shield wires.

The EBM Flapper can be attached with a link stick and a standard attachment or by hand from a bucket live line or under dead conditions.

Contact Roger Martin: EBM Tel 011 288 0000

2) **Tyco Flight Diverter.**

![Tyco Flight Diverter Image]

**Buyers guide number DDT 3107**

The TYCo flight diverter has been used successfully in many places around the world and has been installed on a line in the NW region in conjunction with EWT and proved very successful as a mitigating device. The device is supplied in colours white and grey.

Contact person: Mr Silas Moloko: TIS Tel 011 635 8000
3) **Installing Flight Diverters**

- Spacing of the bird diverters are to be 5m apart alternating on each phase, for single phase lines the colours would alternate 5m apart on the two lines.
- The flight diverters are to be installed with alternating colours,

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 1**

Three phases
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